

MCINTIRE SKATE PARK 425 U.S. 250 BY-PASS CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

ECS PROJECT NO. 46:6713

FOR

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE - FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT

NOVEMBER 2, 2021





Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

November 2, 2021

Mr. Josh Bontrager City of Charlottesville - Facilities Development 305 4th Street NW Charlottesville, Virginia, 22903

ECS Project No. 46:6713

Reference: Facility Condition Assessment Report for McIntire Skate Park, 425 U.S. 250 By-Pass, Charlottesville, Virginia

Dear Mr. Bontrager:

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC is pleased to provide the results of our Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) for the referenced property. The scope of the FCA was performed in general accordance with ASTM and industry guidelines and items contained within the ECS Proposal No. 46:7239-FP, dated June 12, 2020. We understand that our work is being performed under the City of Charlottesville Purchase Order Number 4500313133.

It has been our pleasure to be of service to you on this project. Should you have any questions or comments with regard to the findings and recommendations, please feel free to contact us at your convenience.

Respectfully,

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC

In mge

Donald M. Goglio Project Manager DGoglio@ecslimited.com 703-471-8400

Michael H. Dyle

Michael G. Doyle, AIA Principal Architect mdoyle@ecslimited.com 703-471-8400

# **Project Summary**

Construction System	Good	Fair	Poor	Action	Immediate	Over Term Years 1-20
3.2.1 Topography	Х			None		
3.2.2 Storm Water Drainage	Х	х		Repair		\$25,000
3.2.3 Access and Egress	Х			None		
3.2.4 Paving, Curbing, and Parking	Х			None		
3.2.5 Flatwork	Х			Repair		\$10,000
3.2.6 Landscaping and Appurtenances	Х	х		None		
3.2.7 Recreational Facilities	Х	х		Repair		\$150,000
3.2.8 Special Utility Systems	Х			None		
3.3.1 Foundation	Х			None		
3.3.2 Building Frame	Х			None		
3.3.3 Building Exteriors	Х	х		Refurbish		\$30,000
3.3.4 Exterior Doors	Х			None		
3.3.5 Exterior Windows	Х	х		None		
3.3.6 Roofing Systems	Х	х		Replace		\$11,400
3.4.1.1 Supply and Waste Piping	Х			None		
3.4.1.2 Domestic Hot Water Production	Х			Replace		\$1,000
<u>3.4.2.1</u> Equipment	Х			Replace	\$1,500	\$2,500
3.4.2.2 Distribution System	Х			None		
3.4.2.3 Control Systems	Х			None		
3.4.3.1 Service and Metering	Х			None		
3.4.3.2 Distribution	Х			None		
3.5 VERTICAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS		NA		None		
3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems			Х	Replace	\$500	
3.6.2 Alarm Systems		NA		None		
3.6.3 Security and Other Systems		NA		None		
3.7.1 Interior Finishes of Restroom Building	Х			None		
3.7.2 Office/storage Building		х		Repair		\$15,000
3.8 Accessibility (ADA) Compliance	Х	Х		Repair	\$5,000	
5.1 MOISTURE AND MOLD	Х			None		
Totals					\$7,000	\$244,900

Summary	Today's Dollars	\$/Square Feet
Immediate Repairs	\$7,000	\$5.19

	Today's Dollars	\$/Square Feet	\$/Square Feet/Year
Replacement Reserves, today's dollars	\$244,900.00	\$181.41	\$9.07
Replacement Reserves, w/20, 2.5% escalation	\$301,245.28	\$223.14	\$11.16

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

# PAGE

1.0	EXECU	ITIVE SUI	MMARY 1
	1.1	BACKG	ROUND 1
	1.2	METHO	DDOLOGY 1
	1.3	PROPE	RTY DESCRIPTION
	1.4	OPINIC	DNS OF COST
	1.5	COST T	ABLES 6
		Immed	liate Repair Cost
		Capita	l Reserve Schedule
2.0	PURPO	OSE AND	SCOPE
	2.1	SCOPE	OF SERVICES
	2.2	Deviati	ons from Guide (ASTM E2018-15)
	2.3	ASSESS	SMENT PROCEDURES
	2.4	DEFINI	TIONS
		2.4.1	Partial List of ASTM Definitions
3.0	SYSTE	M DESCR	IPTION AND OBSERVATIONS
	3.1	PROPE	RTY DESCRIPTION
		3.1.1	Property Location
		3.1.2	Construction History
		3.1.3	Current Property Improvements
	3.2	SITE CO	ONDITIONS 15
		3.2.1	Topography
		3.2.2	Storm Water Drainage
		3.2.3	Access and Egress
		3.2.4	Paving, Curbing, and Parking
		3.2.5	Flatwork
		3.2.6	Landscaping and Appurtenances
		3.2.7	Recreational Facilities
		3.2.8	Special Utility Systems
	3.3	STRUC	TURAL FRAME AND BUILDING EXTERIOR
		3.3.1	Foundation
		3.3.2	Building Frame
			5



		3.3.3	Building Exteriors	29
		3.3.4	Exterior Doors	32
		3.3.5	Exterior Windows	34
		3.3.6	Roofing Systems	35
	3.4	PLUMB	ING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	37
		3.4.1	Plumbing Systems	37
			3.4.1.1 Supply and Waste Piping	37
			3.4.1.2 Domestic Hot Water Production	38
		3.4.2	HVAC Systems	39
			3.4.2.1 Equipment	40
			3.4.2.2 Distribution System	42
			3.4.2.3 Control Systems	42
		3.4.3	Electrical Systems	43
			3.4.3.1 Service and Metering	43
			3.4.3.2 Distribution	44
	3.5	VERTICA	AL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	44
	3.6	LIFE SA	ETY AND FIRE PROTECTION	45
		3.6.1	Sprinklers and Suppression Systems	45
		3.6.2	Alarm Systems	46
		3.6.3	Security and Other Systems	46
	3.7	INTERIC	OR BUILDING COMPONENTS	47
		3.7.1	Interior Finishes of Restroom Building	47
		3.7.2	Office/storage Building	49
			3.7.2.1 Comments	50
	3.8	Accessil	bility (ADA) Compliance	52
4.0	DOCUM	IENT RE	VIEW	57
	4.1	DOCUM	IENTATION REVIEW	57
	4.2	INTERV	EW SUMMARY	57
5.0	ADDITI	ONAL CO	ONSIDERATIONS	58
	5.1	MOISTL	JRE AND MOLD	58
6.0	RECOM	MENDA	TIONS AND OPINIONS OF COST	59
7.0	FACILIT	Y COND	ITION INDEX (FCI)	61



# TABLE OF APPENDICES

Appendix I: SITE MAP AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH Appendix II: RS MEANS ESTIMATE FOR FACILITY CONDITION INDEX (FCI) Appendix III: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS Appendix IV: RESUMES



#### **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC (ECS) performed a Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) in general conformance with ASTM guidelines and general scope items contained within the ECS Proposal 46:7239-FP dated June 12, 2020 for the McIntire Skate Park property in Charlottesville, Virginia - hereinafter known as the Property.

The FCA was conducted by ECS in response to the authorization of our Proposal by Ms. Susan Dyer on November 23, 2020. The report was completed and reviewed by the following team members:

William R. Pratt, P.E.	Principal Engineer
	Phone: 703-471-8400
	E-mail: wpratt@ecslimited.com
Michael G. Doyle, AlA	Principal Architect
	Phone: 703-471-8400
	E-mail: mdoyle@ecslimited.com
Donald M. Goglio	Project Manager
	Phone: 703-471-8400
	E-mail: DGoglio@ecslimited.com

#### Reliance

This report is provided for the exclusive use of City of Charlottesville - Facilities Development. This report is not intended to be used or relied upon in connection with other projects or by other unidentified third parties. The use of this report by any undesignated third party or parties will be at such party's sole risk and ECS disclaims liability for any such third party use or reliance.

#### **1.2 METHODOLOGY**

ECS observations and historical property data provided by the owner were utilized to determine the effective age of the property components. Various factors including exposure to weather elements, system manufacturer quality, level of maintenance, and usage determine the effective age of property components. Depending on the impact of these various factors, the effective age of property components can reduce the Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of a property component. The general requirements of the owner to address facility needs were requested to be prioritized based on the RUL and type of property component. The following Priorities were established by the Owner as follows:

#### Priority 1: Immediately Critical Items (Year 0)



Items in this Priority category include physical deficiencies that require immediate action as a result of (i) existing or potentially unsafe conditions, (ii) significant negative conditions impacting tenancy, (iii) material building code violations or Title II American with Disabilities Act (ADA) items.

### Priority 2: Critical Items (Year 0-1)

Items in this Priority category include physical deficiencies that require immediate action as a result of (i) poor or deteriorated condition of critical element or system, or (ii) a condition that is left "as is," with an extensive delay in addressing same, would result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within one year.

#### Priority 3: Near Term Items (Years 2-5)

Items in this category include physical deficiencies that require near term action as a result of (i) poor or deteriorated condition of critical element or system, or (ii) a condition that is left "as is," with an extensive delay in addressing same, would result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within two to five years.

#### Priority 4: Reserve Items (Years 5-20)

Items in this Priority category include Capital Reserves for recurring probable expenditures, which are not classified as operational or maintenance expenses, which should be annually budgeted for in advance. Capital reserves are reasonably predictable both in terms of frequency and cost. However, they may also include components or systems that have an indeterminable life but nonetheless have a potential liability for failure within an estimated time period. A component method has also been included within this report as well.

Reserve items excludes systems or components that are estimated to expire after the reserve term and that are not considered material to the structural and mechanical integrity of the subject property. Furthermore, systems and components that were not deemed to have a material affect on the use were also excluded. Costs that are caused by acts of God, accidents or other occurrences that are typically covered by insurance, rather than reserved funds, are also excluded.

Replacement costs were solicited from ownership/property management, ECS' discussions with service companies, manufacturers' representatives, and previous experience in preparing such schedules for other similar facilities. Costs for work performed by ownership's or property management's maintenance staff were also considered.

ECS's reserve methodology involves identification and quantification of those systems or components requiring capital reserve funds within the evaluation period. Additional information concerning systems or components respective replacement costs (in today's dollars), typical expected useful lives, and remaining useful lives were estimated so that a funding schedule could be prepared. The Capital Reserve Schedule presupposes that all required remedial work has been performed or that monies for remediation have been budgeted for items defined in the Immediate Needs Cost Estimates.



#### **1.3 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION**

The McIntire Skate Park property, located at 425 U.S. 250 By-Pass, in Charlottesville, Virginia, consists of a One-story restroom building and a one-story office/storage building. The structures sit on approximately 55 acres of land on the west side of McIntire Park. Public parking is available at the west entrance of the McIntire Park Softball fields at 1360 Rugby Ave., Charlottesville, VA 22903 with At-grade parking with asphalt pavement. The construction date of the buildings was unknown. Site improvements were made in 2018 with the addition of new skate features.

SURVEY INFORMATION		
Date of Assessment	August 17, 2021	
Assessor	William R. Pratt, P.E.	
Weather Conditions	Partly Cloudy   82	
Property Contact	Josh Bontrager, Project Manager for City of Charlottesville - Facilities Development	

SITE INFORMATION		
Land Area	55 acres	
Major Cross Streets	250 Bypass and Rugby Avenue	
Pavement - Parking	At-grade parking with asphalt pavement	
Number of Parking Spaces	21 at the west entrance of the McIntire Park Softball fields	
Number of Accessible Spaces	Two at the west entrance of the McIntire Park Softball fields	
Number of Van Accessible Spaces	Two at the west entrance of the McIntire Park Softball fields	
Pedestrian Sidewalks	Concrete sidewalks and asphalt walking paths	

BUILDING INFORMATION		
Building Type	Restroom Office/storage	
Number of Buildings	Тwo	
Building Height	One-story	
Square Footage	1,812 combined	
Year Constructed	Unknown	



BUILDING INFORMATION		
Year Remodeled	N/A	

<b>BUILDING CONSTRUCTION - RESTROOMS</b>		
Foundation	Concrete slab-on-grade	
Structural System	Concrete masonry unit bearing walls	
Roof	Asphalt shingle	
Exterior Finishes	Brick	
Windows	Wood frame single pane	
Entrance	Storefront entrance	

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION - OFFICE		
Foundation	Concrete slab-on-grade	
Structural System	Concrete masonry unit bearing walls and steel columns	
Roof	Single-ply membrane	
Exterior Finishes	Brick and wood siding	
Windows	Vinyl frame double pane sliding	
Entrance	Hollow metal	

	BUILDING SYSTEMS - RESTROOMS
HVAC System	Unit heaters
Domestic Hot Water	None
Water Distribution	PEX
Sanitary Waste Line	PVC and cast iron
Electrical Service	3-phase, 4-wire, 250 amp
Branch Wiring	Copper
Elevators	None
Fire Suppression System	Fire extinguishers

	BUILDING SYSTEMS - OFFICE
HVAC System	PTAC through-wall heat pump and unit heater



	BUILDING SYSTEMS - OFFICE
Domestic Hot Water	Electric point-of-use tankless heater
Water Distribution	PEX
Sanitary Waste Line	PVC and cast iron
Electrical Service	Single-phase, 3-wire, 100 amp
Branch Wiring	Copper
Elevators	None
Fire Suppression System	Fire extinguishers

	UTILITY SERVICE PROVIDERS
Water	Charlottesville Water
Sewer	Charlottesville Public Utilities - Wastewater
Electric	Dominion Virginia Power
Natural Gas	City of Charlottesville

#### **1.4 OPINIONS OF COST**

The opinions of cost are provided in the attached reserve replacement table and a summary of immediate repairs included in this report. The reserve replacement table covers capital expenditure items only. Items less than \$1,000 in cost have been excluded, except for immediate repairs, ADA or safety issues. Please refer to section 6.0 of this report for a detailed explanation on how these costs are derived.



# **1.5 COST TABLES**



## Immediate Repair Cost

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Replacement Percent	Immediate Total
3.4.2.1 Equipment					
ADD UNIT HEATERS IN RESTROOM UTILITY ROOMS	2	EA	\$750.00	100%	\$1,500
3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems					
INSTALL FIRE EXTINGUISHERS	1	EA	\$500.00	100%	\$500
3.8 Accessibility (ADA) Compliance					
PROVIDE ACCESSIBLE ROUTE AND IMPROVEMENTS TO RESTROOMS	1	EA	\$5,000.00	100%	\$5,000
Total Repair Cost					\$7,000.00

	Capital Reserve Schedule																												
ltem	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Quantity	v Unit	Unit Cost	Cycle Replace	Replace Percent	Year 1 2021	Year 2 2022	Year 3 2023	Year 4 2024	Year 5 2025	Year 6 2026	Year 7 2027	Year 8 2028	Year 9 2029	Year 10 2030	Year 11 2031	Year 12 2032	Year 13 2033	Year 14 2034	Year 15 2035	Year 16 2036	Year 17 2037	Year 18 2038	Year 19 2039	Year 20 2040	Total Cost
3.2.2 Storm	Vater	Drain	age																										
REPAIR EROSION AT AREAS ADJACENT TO SKATE FACILIITIES				1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	100%	\$10,000																				\$10,000
INSTALL STORM DRAINAGE TO REDUCE EROSION AFTER EROSION REPAIRS				1	LS	\$15,000.00	\$15,000	100%	\$15,000																				\$15,000
3.2.5 Flatwor	.2.5 Flatwork																												
REPLACE CONCRETE SIDEWALK AS NEEDED	20	15	5	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	100%					\$2,500					\$2,500					\$2,500					\$2,500	\$10,000
3.2.7 Recrea	ional	Facilit	ies																										
REPLACE SITE FENCING AS NEEDED	20	10	10	1	EA	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	100%										\$10,000											\$10,000
REPAIR AND RESEAL SKATE PARK SURFACES AS NEEDED	3	2	1	1	LS	\$20,000.00	\$20,000	700%	\$20,000			\$20,000			\$20,000			\$20,000			\$20,000			\$20,000			\$20,000		\$140,000
3.3.3 Buildin	g Exte	riors																											
PAINT EXTERIOR AND REPLACE SEALANTS AT RESTROOMS	12	11	1	1	EA	\$7,500.00	\$7,500	100%	\$3,750												\$3,750								\$7,500

ltem	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Cycle Replace	Replace Percent	Year 1 2021	Year 2 2022	Year 3 2023	Year 4 2024	Year 5 2025	Year 6 2026	Year 7 2027	Year 8 2028	Year 9 2029	Year 10 2030	Year 11 2031	Year 12 2032	Year 13 2033	Year 14 2034	Year 15 2035	Year 16 2036	Year 17 2037	Year 18 2038	Year 19 2039	Year 20 2040	Total Cost
PAINT EXTERIOR AND REPLACE SEALANTS AT OFFICE BUILDING	12	1	11	1	LS	\$7,500.00	\$7,500	100%											\$7,500										\$7,500
REPOINT BRICK EXTERIORS	20	15	5	1	EA	\$5,000.00	\$5,000	300%					\$5,000					\$5,000					\$5,000						\$15,000
3.3.6 Roofing	Syste	ems																											
REPLACE ASPHALT SHINGLED ROOFING SYSTEM AT RESTROOMS	20	1	19	750	SF	\$4.00	\$3,000	100%																			\$3,000		\$3,000
REPLACE SINGLE-PLY MEMBRANE ROOFING SYSTEM AT OFFICE BUILDING	20	19	1	600	SF	\$14.00	\$8,400	100%	\$8,400																				\$8,400
3.4.1.2 Dome	stic H	ot Wa	iter Pr	oduction																									
REPLACE DOMESTIC WATER HEATER	15	5	10	1	EA	\$500.00	\$500	200%										\$500										\$500	\$1,000
3.4.2.1 Equip	ment																												
REPLACE PTAC UNIT AT OFFICE BUILDING	15	5	10	1	EA	\$2,500.00	\$2,500	100%										\$2,500											\$2,500
3.7.2 Office/s	torag	e Buil	ding																										
RENOVATE BATHROOM AND OFFICE INTERIORS AS NEEDED	15	5	10	1	LS	\$15,000.00	\$15,000	100%										\$15,000											\$15,000
Total (Uninfla	ited)								\$57,150.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$20	0,000.00	\$7,500.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$55,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$0.00 \$	23,750.00	\$0.00	\$7,500.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$23,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$244,900.00

							6l.	<b>N</b>	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	
Item	EUL	AGE	RUL	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Replace	Percent	1 2021	2022	3 2023	4 2024	5 2025	6 2026	2027	8 2028	9 2029	2030	2031	12 2032	2033	14 2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	20 2040	Total Cost
Inflation Fa	ctor (2.	5%)							1.0	1.025	1.051	1.077	1.104	1.131	1.16	1.189	1.218	1.249	1.28	1.312	1.345	1.379	1.413	1.448	1.485	1.522	1.56	1.599	
Total (inflat	ed)								\$57,150.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,537.81	\$8,278.60	\$0.00	\$23,193.87	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$69,311.89	\$9,600.63	\$0.00	\$31,941.11	\$0.00	\$10,597.30	\$28,965.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,872.15	\$4,795.95	\$301,245.28
Evaluation I	Period:								20																				
# of Square	Feet:								1,350																				
Reserve per	Squar	e Feet	per ye	ear (Uninfl	ated)				\$9.07																				
Reserve per	Squar	e Feet	per ye	ear (Inflate	d)				\$11.16																				

#### 2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

#### 2.1 SCOPE OF SERVICES

This Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) was conducted in general accordance with items and terminology requested by the Owner herein and ASTM E 2018-15, "Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process".

The primary purpose of a FCA is to note construction deficiencies and to identify components which appear to exhibit less than expected service life or which have been poorly maintained. The assessment is not intended to develop detailed remedial plans for identified problems. The services are qualitative in nature and do not include engineering calculations or design. Photographic documentation of our observations is attached.

The following building systems were observed in accordance with ASTM E 2018-15:

- Site Conditions
- Structural Frame and Building Envelope
- Plumbing, Mechanical and Electrical Systems
- Vertical Transportation Systems
- Life Safety and Fire Protection
- Interior Elements
- ADA Considerations
- Building Code Violations

#### Out of Scope Items

Environmental issues and concerns are considered to be outside of the ASTM scope of services for a Facility Condition Assessment. Although properties may have possible environmental contamination, including, but not limited to radon, mold, lead based paint, asbestos, lead piping, PCB's or volatile chemicals, these issues and concerns should be addressed by an Environmental Assessment, as defined by ASTM Guidelines. ECS recommends that properties be studied by a qualified environmental assessor who can appropriately access, identify, and quantify issues related to environmental safety concerns.

ECS is providing a Facility Condition Assessment consistent with commercial and customary practices and the ASTM E-2018, current at the time the services are provided. The parties expressly acknowledge and agree that ECS is not providing a Reserve Study, which is subject to the National Reserve Study Standards and requires much more detail than a typical Facility Condition Assessment.

#### 2.2 Deviations from Guide (ASTM E2018-15)

ASTM E2018-15 requires that any deviations from the Guide be noted within the report. ECS reduced the cost threshold from \$3,000 to \$1,000 to allow for smaller items needing repair, replacement or refurbishment. Therefore items with costs less than \$1,000 are typically not included in this report unless related to life, safety or accessibility items.



ECS interviewed personnel associated with the McIntire Skate Park facility and other government agencies based upon availability. These individuals are identified in Section 4.2. Information obtained from the interviews are included in the applicable sections of this report.

### 2.3 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

The FCA included site reconnaissance, limited interviews with property management, and inquiries or attempted inquiries with the local building and fire departments. Operational testing of building systems or components was not conducted. During the FCA, ECS conducted observations of the following facility features: site development systems; building structure systems; building exterior systems; building interior systems; roof systems; mechanical systems; electrical systems; plumbing systems; and life and fire safety systems.

This report is intended for review as a complete document. Therefore, interpretations and conclusions drawn from the review of any individual section are the sole responsibility of the User.

## **2.4 DEFINITIONS**

**Fair**, adj - the property or component is functional but will likely require immediate maintenance or repairs during the duration of the term.

**Good**, adj - the property or component is functional and should continue to provide its intended service with continued routine maintenance through the duration of the term.

**Poor**, adj - the property or component is not functional. Immediate or near term repairs are required to bring the component back into service or replacement is expected during the duration of the term.

# 2.4.1 Partial List of ASTM Definitions

**de minimis condition** - a physical deficiency that is not material to the conclusions of the report.

**deferred maintenance**, n - physical deficiencies that could have been remedied with routine maintenance, normal operating maintenance, etc., excluding de minimis conditions that generally do not present a material physical deficiency to the subject property.

**easily visible**, adj - describes items, components, and systems that are conspicuous, patent, and which may be observed visually during the walk-though survey without: intrusion, relocation or removal of materials, exploratory probing, use of special protective clothing, or use of any equipment (hand tools, meters of any kind, telescope instruments, stools, ladders, lighting devices, etc.).

**effective age**, n - the estimated age of a building component that considers actual age as affected by maintenance history, location, weather conditions, and other factors. Effective age may be more or less than actual age.

**expected useful life (EUL)**, n - the average amount of time in years that an item, component or system is estimated to function without material repair when installed new and assuming routine maintenance is practiced.



**immediate cost**, n - opinions of costs that require immediate action as a result of any of the following: (1) material existing or potentially unsafe conditions, (2) material building or fire code violations, (3) physical deficiencies that if left uncorrected would be expected to result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within on year or will result most probably in significant escalation of its remedial cost.

**observation**, n - the visual survey of items, systems, conditions, or components that are readily accessible and easily visible during a walk-through survey of the subject property.

**observe**, v - to conduct an observation pursuant to this guide within the context of easily visible and readily accessible.

**obvious**, adj - plain, evident, and readily accessible; a condition easily visible or fact not likely to be ignored or overlooked by a field observer when conducting a walk-through survey or that which is practically reviewable and would be understood easily by a person conducting the FCA.

opinions of costs, n - opinion of costs that may be encountered in correction of physical deficiencies.

**physical deficiency**, n - a conspicuous defect or deferred maintenance of a subject property's material systems, components, or equipment as observed during the completion of the FCA. - This definition specifically excludes deficiencies that may be remedied with routine maintenance, miscellaneous minor repairs, normal operating maintenance, etc., and excludes de minimis conditions that generally do not present material physical deficiencies of the subject property.

**Point of Contact (POC)**, n - owner, owner's agent, or user-identified person or persons knowledgeable about the physical characteristics, maintenance, and repair of the subject property.

**practically reviewable**, adj - describes information that is provided by the source in a manner and form that, upon review, yields information relevant to the subject property without the need for significant analysis, measurements, or calculations. Records or information that feasibly cannot be retrieved by reference to the location of the subject property are not generally considered practically reviewable.

**primary commercial real estate improvements**, n - the site and building improvements that are of fundamental importance with respect to the commercial real estate. This definition specifically excludes ancillary structures, that may have been constructed to provide support uses such as maintenance sheds, security booths, utility garages, pool filter and equipment buildings, etc.

**property**, n - the site improvements, which are inclusive of both site work and buildings.

**readily accessible**, adj - describes areas of the subject property that are promptly made available for observation by the field observer at the time of the walk-through survey and do not require the removal or relocation of materials or personal property, such as furniture, floor, wall, or ceiling coverings; and that are safely accessible in the opinion of the field observer.

**readily available**, adj - describes information or records that are easily and promptly provided to the consultant upon making a request in compliance with an appropriate inquiry and without the need for the consultant to research archive files.



**reasonably ascertainable**, adj - describes information that is publicly available, as well as readily available, provided to the consultant's offices from either its source or an information research/ retrieval service within reasonable time, practically reviewable, and available at a nominal cost for either retrieval, reproduction or forwarding.

**remaining useful life (RUL)**, n - a subjective estimate based upon observations, or average estimates of similar items, components, or systems, or a combination thereof, of the number of remaining years that an item, component, or system is estimated to be able to function in accordance with its intended purpose before warranting replacement. Such period of time is affected by the initial quality of an item, component, or system, the quality of the initial installation, the quality and amount of preventive maintenance exercised, climatic conditions, extent of use, etc.

**representative observations**, n - observations of a reasonable number of samples of repetitive systems, components, areas, etc., which are conducted by the field observer during the walk-through survey. The concept of representative observations extends to all conditions, areas, equipment, components, systems, buildings, etc., to the extent that they are similar and representative of one another.

**routine maintenance**, n - a repair that does not require specialized equipment, profession services, or contractors, but rather can be corrected within budget and skill set of typical property maintenance staff.

**short term cost**, n - opinions of costs to remedy physical deficiencies, such as deferred maintenance, that may not warrant immediate attention, but require repairs or replacements that should be undertaken on a priority basis in addition to routine preventive maintenance.

**technically exhaustive**, adj - describes the use of measurements, instruments, testing, calculations, exploratory probing or discovery, or other means to discover, or a combination thereof, or troubleshoot physical deficiencies or develop architectural or engineering findings, conclusions, and recommendations, or combination thereof.



### **3.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS**

### **3.1 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION**

The Property contains a One-story Restroom building and a one-story office/storage building.

#### 3.1.1 Property Location

The Property is located at 425 U.S. 250 By-Pass in Charlottesville, Virginia.

	Surrounding Properties										
North	McIntire Park										
East	Residential properties										
South	Residential properties										
West	Residential properties										

A Site Location Map and Aerial View are included in Appendix I.

#### **3.1.2 Construction History**

The building construction date is unknown.

#### 3.1.3 Current Property Improvements

The Skate Park, located at 425 U.S. 250 By-Pass, in Charlottesville, Virginia, consists of two One-story buildings. The buildings total approximately 1,812 square feet. Public parking is available at the west entrance of McIntire Park Softball fields at 1360 Rugby Ave. with At-grade parking with asphalt pavement. Site improvements were made in 2018 with the addition of new skate features.

#### **3.2 SITE CONDITIONS**

#### 3.2.1 Topography

TOPOGRAPHY												
Item	Description	Condition										
Slope of the property	The property generally slopes to the southeast	Good										
Adjoining Properties	Down gradient	Good										

#### Comments

The property is generally level and slopes to the southeast. The adjoining properties are located down gradient from the property.



#### 3.2.2 Storm Water Drainage

STORM WATER DRAINAGE										
ltem	Description	Condition								
Storm Water Collection System	Municipal	Good								
Storm Water (Retention) Pond		N/A								
Storm Water Filtration Structure		N/A								
Pavement Drainage	Curb inlet	Good								
Landscape Drainage	Yard inlet	Fair								

#### Comments

The storm water collection system includes a municipal system.

Several areas of erosion were observed around the site. We recommend repair of these areas and the addition of storm drainage elements to prevent further erosion.

# Photographs



Stormwater drainage

Typical landscaping - note deterioration





Typical landscaping - note deterioration

#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPAIR EROSION AT AREAS ADJACENT TO SKATE FACILIITIES	-	-	-	1	\$10,000
INSTALL STORM DRAINAGE TO REDUCE EROSION AFTER EROSION REPAIRS	-	-	-	1	\$15,000
Total					\$25,000

#### 3.2.3 Access and Egress

SITE ACCESS AND EGRESS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Entrance Aprons	Asphalt	Good		
Fire Truck Access	South	Good		

#### Comments

Emergency access to the site is located on the south side of the property via US 250, via a gated entrance. The entrance apron and access drive are constructed of asphalt and were observed to be in generally good condition. Fire truck access is available on the south side of the office/storage building.



No public vehicular access to the property was available.

# Photographs



Gated entrance

# 3.2.4 Paving, Curbing, and Parking

PARKING				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Striping	Painted	Good		
Quantity of Parking Spaces	21	Good		
Quantity of Loading Spaces		N/A		
Arrangement of Spaces	Diagonal	Good		
Site Circulation	Located at the west entrance of the McIntire Park Softball fields	Good		
Lighting		N/A		
Accessible Spaces	Two	Good		
Accessible Aisles	One	Good		

SURFACE PAVEMENT				
Item Description Condit				
Pavement Surface	At-grade parking with asphalt pavement	Good		



SURFACE PAVEMENT					
Item Description Cor					
Drainage	Curb inlet	Good			
Repair History	Recent surfacing	Good			
Concrete Curbs and Gutters	Asphalt gutters	Good			
Dumpster Pad	Located off asphalt surface, accessed from gated emergency entrance	Fair			
Fire Lane Painting		N/A			

#### Comments

Asphalt-paved parking is available off site with pedestrian access provided by concrete and asphalt paved walking paths and a pedestrian bridge over the adjacent railroad tracks. An asphalt paved lot is located on the west side of the property with gated emergency or maintenance vehicular access only. The asphalt pavement was observed to be in generally good condition. The expected useful life of asphalt pavement is 20 years.

#### Photographs



Asphalt pavement overview

Asphalt pavement overview





Asphalt pavement overview

#### 3.2.5 Flatwork

SIDEWALKS					
Item Description Cond					
Walkways	Concrete sidewalks	Good			
Steps	Concrete	Good			
Handrails	Various	Good			
Ramps	Concrete	Good			
Curb Ramps		N/A			
Truncated Domes		N/A			

#### Comments

The site contains concrete and asphalt sidewalks of undetermined thickness. Regularly spaced control joints were observed in the concrete sidewalks. Concrete sidewalks were located through the skate park areas. Asphalt sidewalks were located along the north side of the site. The sidewalks were generally in good condition with some cracking noted. An allowance has been included to replace settled and cracked sections of concrete sidewalks, as required over time.



# Photographs



Concrete sidewalks

Concrete sidewalks





Concrete sidewalks - note cracked

Concrete pavement - note cracked

#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE CONCRETE SIDEWALK AS NEEDED	20	15	5	5 10 15 20	\$2,500 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$2,500
Total					\$10,000



#### 3.2.6 Landscaping and Appurtenances

LANDSCAPING				
Item Description Cond				
Trees	Mature	Good		
Planting Beds		N/A		
Lawn Areas	Throughout property	Fair		
Irrigation System		N/A		
Retaining Walls	Cut stone and concrete	Good		
Accessories	Benches and picnic tables	Good		
Fence	Chain link	Fair		

#### Comments

The landscaping consists generally of mature trees and grassed areas around the site. The landscaping was observed to be in generally fair condition with several areas of erosion noted. Repairs for the eroded areas have been provided in the storm water section above.

Cut stone retaining walls were provided around the skate park areas. A concrete retaining wall was provided on the south side of the restroom building. The retaining walls were in good condition.

A chain link fence borders the property on the south and west sides. Minor damage to the fencing was observed. An allowance for fence repairs has been provided.

Coated steel benches and picnic tables were installed through the property. These items were observed to be in good condition.

A small wood composite deck and stair was located in the skate park area. The decking and framing was observed to be in good condition.



# Photographs



# Park Equipment

Park Equipment



Chain-link fence



Chain-link fence - note deterioration





Typical landscaping - note deterioration

Typical retaining wall



Composite exterior stairs

Composite deck

# 3.2.7 Recreational Facilities

SKATE FEATURES				
Item	Description	Condition		
Playing Surface	Concrete	Good		
Fencing	Galvanized steel	Good		
Equipment	Ramps and rails	Good		
Lighting	Pole bases installed for future lighting	N/A		



#### Comments

Several concrete skating features were observed across the south side of the property. The skating surface was generally in good condition with minor cracking noted. A swimming pool type feature was located to the east of the skate park area with pool coping and tile installed around the rim. Areas of the coping and tile were observed to be deteriorating and cracking. An allowance has been provided for concrete repairs during the report period.

#### Photographs



Concrete pavement - note cracked

Concrete pavement - note sealed



Future pole base

Pool feature tile





# Skate park

Skate features

Steel handrail



Pool feature

## Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE SITE FENCING AS NEEDED	20	10	10	10	\$10,000



Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPAIR AND RESEAL SKATE PARK SURFACES AS NEEDED	3	2	1	1 4 7 10 13 16 19	\$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000
Total					\$150,000

#### 3.2.8 Special Utility Systems

Item	Description	Condition
Water Well		N/A
Lift Station		N/A
Septic System	Located east of pavilion	Good

#### Comments

The Property contains a Hanover septic system located east of the restroom building with an electronic control panel located on the east wall. The septic system was generally in good condition.

## Photographs



Typical landscaping - note deterioration



## **3.3 STRUCTURAL FRAME AND BUILDING EXTERIOR**

## 3.3.1 Foundation

FOUNDATION				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Load Bearing Support	Concrete slab-on-grade	Good		
Basement		N/A		
Crawl Space		N/A		

#### Comments

The foundation of both the restroom and the office buildings includes Concrete slab-on-grade. Large cracks were not observed in the exterior walls. The foundation system appeared to provide adequate structural support to the buildings. The foundations were generally in good condition.

#### 3.3.2 Building Frame

BUILDING FRAME - RESTROOMS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Floor Framing	Slab-on-grade	Good		
Roof Framing	Wood	Good		
Load Bearing Walls	Brick and CMU	Good		
Balconies		N/A		

BUILDING FRAME - OFFICE				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Floor Framing	Slab-on-grade	Good		
Roof Framing	Wood	Good		
Load Bearing Walls	СМИ	Good		
Balconies		N/A		

#### Comments

The structure of the restroom building consists of brick and Concrete masonry unit bearing walls with wood roof support framing. The structural frame of the building was generally in good condition.

The office/storage building consists of Concrete masonry unit bearing walls and steel columns with wood joist roof framing. The exterior structural frame of the building was generally in good condition.



# Photographs



Storage roof framing

Pavilion roof framing

# 3.3.3 Building Exteriors

EXTERIOR FINISHES - RESTROOMS				
Item	Description	Condition		
Masonry	Brick	Good		
Accent/Trim	Wood	Good		
Covered Soffits	Wood	Good		
Paint	Wood and windows	Fair		
Sealants	Various	Fair		

EXTERIOR FINISHES - OFFICE				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Masonry	Brick	Good		
Siding	Wood panel siding	Good		
Accent/Trim	Wood	Good		
Covered Soffits	Wood	Good		
Paint	Trim and siding	Good		
Sealants	Various	Fair		



#### Comments

The exterior of the restroom building consists of brick with a wood framed awning structure. The wood trim and exterior framing were painted. Exterior sealants are located around the window and door frames and at the brick to wood transitions. The expected useful life of exterior sealants is approximately 10 to 12 years before replacement is needed. The exterior sealants were generally in fair condition. An allowance has been included to paint the exterior and replace the sealants during the study period.

The office/storage exterior consists of brick and wood panel siding. The brick walls were generally in good to fair condition with previous repairs and areas in need of repair noted. Deterioration of the mortar joints was observed. The steel columns and beams were painted and supported the west side of the structure that was assumed to have been open at some point. Wood framed walls have been installed to close in the space for storage. The wood framed walls are flashed over the existing brick knee walls and covered with wood panel siding. Exterior sealants are located around the window and door frames and at the brick to wood transitions. The expected useful life of exterior sealants is approximately 10 to 12 years before replacement is needed. The exterior sealants were generally in fair condition. An allowance has been included to paint the exterior and replace the sealants during the study period.

#### Photographs



Typical exterior wall

Typical exterior wall




Typical exterior wall

Typical exterior wall



Typical wood siding

Typical overhang





Office brick - Note deterioration of mortar joints

#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
PAINT EXTERIOR AND REPLACE SEALANTS AT RESTROOMS	12	11	1	1 13	\$3,750 \$3,750
PAINT EXTERIOR AND REPLACE SEALANTS AT OFFICE BUILDING	12	1	11	11	\$7,500
REPOINT BRICK EXTERIORS	20	15	5	5 10 15	\$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000
Total					\$30,000

#### 3.3.4 Exterior Doors

DOORS - RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Personnel Doors	Storefront entrance	Good	
Door Hardware	Operable	Good	
Accessibility Controls	Maglocks	Good	



DOORS - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Personnel Doors	Metal	Good	
Door Hardware	Operable	Good	
Accessibility Controls		N/A	

### Comments

The entrance doors to the restroom building are storefront and are located on the north side of the building. The entrance doors were generally in good condition.

The exterior doors to the office/storage building were metal. The equipment storage area contained a double metal door. Each room of the building has a separate exterior entrance.

Exterior doors typically have an expected useful life of 20 to 30 years.

## Photographs



Typical main entrance

Typical entrance door





Typical personal door

## 3.3.5 Exterior Windows

WINDOWS - RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Window Frame	Wood	Fair	
Glass Pane	Single	Fair	
Operation		N/A	
Exterior Header	Brick	Good	
Exterior Sill	Wood	Good	

WINDOWS - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Window Frame	Vinyl	Good	
Glass Pane	Double	Good	
Operation	Sliding	Good	
Exterior Header	Steel lintel	Good	
Exterior Sill	Brick	Good	

## Comments

The window system for the restroom building consists of Wood frame single pane. The windows appeared to be in fair condition.



The window system for the office building consists of vinyl frame, double pane, sliding windows. The windows appeared to be in good condition.

# Photographs



Office/storage building

Typical exterior wall

## 3.3.6 Roofing Systems

ROOFING - RESTROOMS		
ltem	Description	Condition
Roofing system	Asphalt shingle	Good
Cap Flashing/Coping	Metal	Good
Insulation		N/A
Substrate/Deck	Wood	Good
Slope/Pitch	Varies	Good
Drainage	Gutters and downspouts	Good
Plumbing Vents	Neoprene flashing	Good
Exhaust Vents		N/A
Flashing		N/A

ROOFING - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Roofing system	Single-ply membrane	Fair	



ROOFING - OFFICE		
ltem	Description	Condition
Cap Flashing/Coping		N/A
Insulation	Not observed	N/A
Substrate/Deck	Wood	Fair
Slope/Pitch	Minor ponding noted	Good
Drainage	Edge drainage	Good
Plumbing Vents	Varies	Fair
Exhaust Vents		N/A
Flashing	Metal	Good

## Comments

The roofing system at the restroom building consists of asphalt shingles that were in good condition. The expected useful life of the metal roofing systems is generally 20 years. The roof is not vented as the original gable indents were bricked over.

The roofing system at the office/storage building consists of single-ply roof membrane. The expected useful life of the roofing systems is generally 20 years. The roof was observed to be in fair condition.

An allowance has been included to replace the roofs during the study period.

#### Photographs



Typical roofing system

Typical roofing system





Typical roofing system

Restroom exterior

## Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE ASPHALT SHINGLED ROOFING SYSTEM AT RESTROOMS	20	1	19	19	\$3,000
REPLACE SINGLE-PLY MEMBRANE ROOFING SYSTEM AT OFFICE BUILDING	20	19	1	1	\$8,400
Total					\$11,400

# 3.4 PLUMBING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS

# 3.4.1 Plumbing Systems

# 3.4.1.1 Supply and Waste Piping

PLUMBING - WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM - RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Piping Material	PEX	Good	
Pipe Insulation	None	N/A	
Water Flow and Pressure		Good	
Pressure Pumps		N/A	



PLUMBING - WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Piping Material	PEX	Good	
Pipe Insulation	None	N/A	
Water Flow and Pressure		Good	
Pressure Pumps		N/A	

PLUMBING - WASTE SYSTEM - RESTROOMS			
Item	Description	Condition	
Piping Material	PVC and cast iron	Good	
Vertical Vent Stacks	PVC and cast iron	Good	
Clean-outs	PVC and cast iron	Good	

PLUMBING - WASTE SYSTEM - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Piping Material	PVC and cast iron	Good	
Vertical Vent Stacks	PVC and cast iron	Good	
Clean-outs	PVC and cast iron	Good	

#### Comments

#### Water Lines

The main water supply lines inside the buildings are PEX. The expected useful life of PEX piping is approximately 40 years. The water supply pipes were generally in good condition.

#### Waste Lines

The waste lines in the buildings are PVC and cast iron. The expected useful life of PVC and cast iron waste line is approximately 50 years. The waste lines were generally in good condition. No issues were reported with the waste lines.

#### 3.4.1.2 Domestic Hot Water Production

HOT WATER PRODUCTION		
Item	Description	Condition
Heating Equipment	Electric point-of-use heaters	Good



HOT WATER PRODUCTION			
Item	Description	Condition	
Water Storage	None	N/A	
Circulation Pumps		N/A	

## Comments

Domestic hot water is not provided in the restroom building. The lavatories are supplied with cold water only.

Domestic hot water is provided in the office building by a small electric point-of-use heater hung on the wall of the bathroom. The heater was in good condition. The expected useful life of an electric water heater is approximately 12 to 15 years. We recommend the water heater be replaced during the study period.

## Photographs



Tankless water heater

#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE DOMESTIC WATER HEATER	15	5	10	10 20	\$500 \$500
Total					\$1,000





### 3.4.2.1 Equipment

EQUIPMENT - RESTROOMS			
Item	Description	Condition	
HVAC system	Space heaters	Good	
Exhaust Fans		N/A	
Unit Heaters	Located in restrooms and entry	Good	

EQUIPMENT - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
HVAC system	PTAC through-wall system	Fair	
Exhaust Fans	Restroom	Good	
Unit Heaters	In restroom	Good	

#### Comments

Heating in the restroom building is provided by wall hung unit heaters located in the restrooms and main entry. The heaters were in good condition.

Supplemental heating for the plumbing in the pavilion building is provided by incandescent light bulbs placed inside insulated enclosures. The use of bare-bulb fixtures for heating purposes is not considered a safe method and there is no thermostatic control for these bulbs. We recommend installing additional unit heaters with thermostatic controls for these spaces.

The office is served by a PTAC through-wall system. The system was observed to be in fair condition. An allowance for replacement of the PTAC system has been provided for later in the report period. A unit heater was provided for the office restroom. The heater appeared to be in good condition.

The City of Charlottesville self maintains the mechanical systems.



# Photographs



Through-wall heat pump

Unit Heater



Restroom space heater



Exterior drinking fountain





Typical plumbing cover

Restroom plumbing

#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE PTAC UNIT AT OFFICE BUILDING	15	5	10	10	\$2,500
ADD UNIT HEATERS IN RESTROOM UTILITY ROOMS	-	0	-	Immediate	\$1,500
Total					\$4,000

#### 3.4.2.2 Distribution System

HVAC DISTRIBUTION			
Item	Description	Condition	
Ducts	Bathroom exhaust	Good	
Return Air		N/A	

## Comments

The only ductwork in the restroom building was for the exhaust fans.

## 3.4.2.3 Control Systems

HVAC CONTROL SYSTEMS		
ltem	Description	Condition
Thermostats	Integral	Good



HVAC CONTROL SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Compressor (Pneumatic System)		N/A	
Variable Frequency Drives		N/A	

## Comments

Thermostats are local and integral with the units served.

#### 3.4.3 Electrical Systems

#### 3.4.3.1 Service and Metering

SERVICE AND METERING - RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Service Entrance	Located on the south of the building	Good	
Master (House) Meter	Located on the south of the building	Good	
Emergency Power		N/A	
Transfer Switch		N/A	

SERVICE AND METERING - OFFICE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Service Entrance	Located on east side of building	Good	
Master (House) Meter	Located on east side of building	Good	
Emergency Power		N/A	
Transfer Switch		N/A	

#### Comments

Electricity is provided to the park by Dominion Virginia Power.

The main electrical entrance to the restrooms and future site lighting is located on the south side of the building and provides 3-phase, 4-wire, 250 amp and 400 amp services.

The main electrical entrance to the office is located on the east side of the building and provides single-phase, 3-wire, 100 amp service.



There was no emergency power provided to the park.

## 3.4.3.2 Distribution

ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Electrical Sub-panels	Square D	Good	
Branch Wiring	Copper	Good	
GFCI Devices	Located throughout property	Good	
Building Transformers	Pad/floor mounted	Good	

## Comments

Power is distributed by copper wire from circuit breaker panels located at each of the buildings. The expected useful life of sub-panels is 50 years with proper maintenance. The circuit breaker panels were observed to be in generally good condition.

#### Photographs



Office electrical panel

Restroom sub panel

## **3.5 VERTICAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**

ELEVATORS				
ltem	Description Condition			
Quantity	None			



## Comments

There were no vertical transportation systems located at the park.

## **3.6 LIFE SAFETY AND FIRE PROTECTION**

## 3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems

SPRINKLER AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Sprinkler System (wet)		N/A	
Sprinkler System (dry)		N/A	
Fire Extinguishers	Two observed	Poor	
Fire Hydrants	Not observed	Poor	

## Comments

One fire extinguisher was observed in the restroom building, but it had been discharged and in need of maintenance.

One fire extinguisher was observed in the office building, but it did not have a service tag attached.

We recommend adding multiple fire extinguishers to both buildings and servicing these devices annually.

## Photographs



Office extinguisher

Restroom extinguisher



#### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
INSTALL FIRE EXTINGUISHERS	-	0	-	Immediate	\$500
Total					\$500

## 3.6.2 Alarm Systems

ALARM SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Annunciator Panel		N/A	
Public Address System		N/A	
Central Fire Alarm Control Panel		N/A	
Automatic Notification		N/A	

#### Comments

There was no fire alarm system at either building.

## 3.6.3 Security and Other Systems

SECURITY AND OTHER SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Security Cameras		N/A	
Alarm System		N/A	
Security Fencing	Chain link	Good	

## Comments

There was no security system at either building.

Chain link fencing around the property was generally in good condition.



# **3.7 INTERIOR BUILDING COMPONENTS**

# 3.7.1 Interior Finishes of Restroom Building

ENTRY AREA			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	Coated concrete	Good	
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU and brick	Good	
Ceiling Finishes	Painted gypsum board	Good	
Lighting	Fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Accessories		N/A	

RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	Coated concrete	Good	
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU and brick	Good	
Ceiling Finishes	Painted gypsum board	Good	
Fixtures	Toilets, wall hung lavatories	Good	
Accessories	Grab bars, soap and paper dispensers	Fair	
Ventilation	None	Poor	
Lighting	Incandescent fixtures	Good	
Doors	Metal	Good	
Door Hardware	Operable	Good	

UTILITY ROOM			
Item	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	Unfinished concrete	Good	
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU	Fair	
Ceiling Finishes		N/A	
Janitor Sink Area		N/A	
Lighting	Incandescent fixtures	Good	



#### Comments

The interior of the restroom building includes an entry area, two restrooms, and two utility rooms.

The finishes in the entry area include coated concrete floors, painted CMU and brick walls, and painted gypsum board ceiling. The finishes were observed to be in generally good condition.

The finishes in the restrooms include coated concrete floors, painted CMU and brick walls, and painted gypsum board ceilings. The restrooms were observed to be in generally good condition however one soap dispenser had been removed.

The finishes in the utility rooms include unfinished concrete floors, painted CMU and brick walls, and unfinished ceilings. The finishes in the utility rooms were observed to be in generally fair condition. A suspect substance was observed on the exterior walls. The substance may require further testing. It was noted that exterior grading maintenance was performed to resolve a water intrusion issue at the pavilion building.

#### Photographs





Accessible restroom

Restroom building interior





Suspect substance on wall

# 3.7.2 Office/storage Building

RESTROOMS			
Item	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	Coated concrete	Poor	
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU/brick	Fair	
Ceiling Finishes	Unfinished	Fair	
Fixtures	Toilet, wall hung lavatory	Fair	
Accessories	Partitions, mirror, soap and paper dispensers	Fair	
Ventilation	Exhaust fan	Good	
Lighting	Fluorescent fixtures	Fair	
Doors	Metal	Good	
Door Hardware	Operable	Good	

KITCHEN/KITCHENETTES			
Item	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	Coated concrete	Fair	
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU/brick	Fair	
Ceiling Finishes	Painted wood panels	Good	
Counters	Laminate	Fair	
Sink	Stainless	Good	



KITCHEN/KITCHENETTES			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Cabinets	Wood	Fair	
Appliances	Residential	Fair	
Stove/Range		N/A	
Exhaust Vent/Hood		N/A	
Refrigerator	Standard	Good	
Dish Washer		N/A	
Microwave Oven	Countertop	Good	
Garbage Disposal		N/A	
Other	Bottled water dispenser	Good	

STORAGE ROOM					
Item Description					
Floor Finishes	Unfinished concrete	Good			
Wall Finishes	Unfinished brick and exposed wood framing	Good			
Ceiling Finishes	Unfinished wood framing	Good			
Lighting	Fluorescent fixtures	Good			

UTILITY ROOMS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Floor Finishes	Coated concrete	Fair		
Wall Finishes	Painted CMU/brick	Fair		
Ceiling Finishes	Unfinished	Fair		
Janitor Sink Area		N/A		
Lighting	Fluorescent fixtures	Fair		

## 3.7.2.1 Comments

The office building contained an office/kitchenette, restroom, utility room, and storage rooms.

The office/kitchenette finishes included coated concrete floors, painted CMU and brick walls, and a painted wood paneled ceiling.



The restroom finishes included coated concrete floor, painted CMU and brick walls, and unfinished ceiling.

The utility room included coated concrete floor, painted CMU and brick walls, and an unfinished ceiling.

The storage rooms included concrete floors, brick and unfinished wood walls, and unfinished ceilings.

The finishes in the office building were generally in fair condition and in need of rehabilitation. An allowance for updating the finishes has been included.

#### Photographs



Typical utility room

Typical kitchen interior



Office restroom

Storage room framing



### Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
RENOVATE BATHROOM AND OFFICE INTERIORS AS NEEDED	15	5	10	10	\$15,000
Total					\$15,000

## 3.8 Accessibility (ADA) Compliance

#### Comments

Facilities, including site features and buildings, completed and occupied after January 26, 1992 are required to comply fully with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Facilities constructed after this date must be maintained and operated to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG). Existing facilities constructed prior to this date are held to the lesser standard of complying with the extent allowed by structural feasibility and the financial resources available, or a reasonable accommodation must be made. Title III, for the purposes of the ECS scope of work is to address public accommodations. ECS will note work that shall remove architectural barriers in existing facilities, including communication barriers, that are structural in nature, where such removal is readily achievable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense.

The McIntire Skate Park property is considered by the City of Charlottesville - Facilities Development to be within "areas of public accommodations" or a "commercial facility" and is therefore is subject to compliance with Title III of the ADA.

The parking area serving the property has a total of approximately 21 parking spaces near the softball fields. Of the parking spaces, Two are accessible with both being van accessible. Accessibility requires that 1 accessible parking space be provided in parking areas with a total of 1 to 25 spaces. One in six of the accessible parking spaces are required to be van accessible. A minimum of a 60-inch wide access aisle is required to be provided for every two accessible parking spaces. Accessible aisles were observed to be provided. The number of parking spaces provided does meet accessibility requirements.

Although it appears that accessible restrooms have been provided in the restroom building, there does not appear to be an accessible route from the parking to the building. The accessories, such as soap and paper dispensers, do not appear to be within an accessible reach and mirrors were not provided. Knee protection was not installed at the drain piping for the lavatories.

There were no accessible provisions or areas at the office/storage building.



# Photographs



Accessible restroom

Accessible restroom

## Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
PROVIDE ACCESSIBLE ROUTE AND IMPROVEMENTS TO RESTROOMS	0	0	0	Immediate	\$5,000

Total

\$5,000

Un	Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act				
	ltem	Yes/ No	Comments		
Α.	History				
1.	Has an ADA Survey been completed for this property?	No			
2.	Have any ADA improvements been made to the property since original construction?	No			
3.	Has building ownership/management reported any ADA complaints or litigation?	No			
В.	Parking				



Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act				
	ltem	Yes/ No	Comments	
1.	Does the required number of standard ADA-designated spaces appear to be provided?	Yes	Two of the 21 are accessible	
2.	Does the required number of van-accessible designated spaces appear to be provided?	Yes		
3.	Are accessible spaces part of the shortest accessible route to an accessible building entrance?	No	There does not appear to be an accessible route from the closest parking area to the restroom building.	
4.	Is a sign with the International Symbol of Accessibility at the head of each space?	Yes		
5.	Does each accessible space have an adjacent access aisle?	Yes		
6.	Do parking spaces and access aisles appear to be relatively level and without obstruction?	Yes		
C.	Exterior Accessible Route			
1.	ls an accessible route present from public transportation stops and municipal sidewalks in the property?	No		
2.	Are curb cut ramps present at transitions through curbs on an accessible route?	N/A		
3.	Do curb cut ramps appear to have the proper slope for all components?	N/A		
4.	Do ramps on an accessible route appear to have a compliant slope?	Yes		
D.	Building Entrances			
1.	Do a sufficient number of accessible entrances appear to be provided?	Yes		
2.	If the main entrance is not accessible, is an alternate accessible entrance provided?	N/A		
4.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have compliant clear floor area on each side?	Yes		
5.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have compliant hardware?	Yes		



Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act				
	ltem	Yes/ No	Comments	
6.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have complaint opening width?	Yes		
7.	Do pairs of accessible entrance doors in series appear to have the minimum clear space between them?	Yes		
8.	Do thresholds at accessible entrances appear to have compliant height?	Yes		
Ε.	Interior Accessible Routes and Amenities			
1.	Does an accessible route appear to connect with all public areas inside the building?	Yes		
2.	Do accessible routes appear free of obstructions and/or protruding objects?	Yes		
3.	Do ramps on accessible routes appear to have compliant slope?	N/A		
8.	Do public transaction areas have an accessible, lowered counter section?	N/A		
9.	Do public telephones appear mounted with an accessible height and location?	N/A		
10.	Are publicly-accessible swimming pools equipped with an entrance lift?	N/A		
F.	Interior Doors			
1.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant clear floor area on each side?	Yes		
2.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant hardware?	Yes		
3.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant opening force?	Yes		
4.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have a compliant clear opening width?	Yes		
G.	Elevators			
1.	Are hallway call buttons configured with the "UP" button above the "DOWN" button?	N/A		



Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act				
	ltem	Yes/ No	Comments	
Н.	Toilet Rooms			
1.	Do publicly-accessible toilet rooms appear to have a minimum compliant floor area?	Yes		
2.	Does the lavatory appear to be mounted at a compliant height and with compliant knee area?	Yes		
3.	Does the lavatory faucet have compliant handles?	Yes		
4.	Is the plumbing piping under lavatories configured to protect against contact?	No	Add knee protection at lavatories	
5.	Are grab bars provided at compliant locations around the toilet?	Yes		
6.	Do toilet stall doors appear to provide the minimum compliant clear width?	N/A		
7.	Do toilet stalls appear to provide the minimum compliant clear floor area?	N/A		
8.	Do urinals appear to be mounted at a compliant height and with compliant approach width?	N/A		
9.	Do accessories and mirrors appear to be mounted at a compliant height?	No	Mirrors are not provided. Dispensers are not within accessible reach	
I.	Hospitality Guestrooms			
1.	Does property management report the minimum required accessible guestrooms?	N/A		
2.	Does property management report the minimum required accessible guestrooms with roll-in showers?	N/A		



#### **4.0 DOCUMENT REVIEW**

#### 4.1 DOCUMENTATION REVIEW

ECS requested relevant documentation from Josh Bontrager, to gain insight into the subject property's physical improvements, extent and type of use, and/or assist in identifying material discrepancies between reported information and observed conditions. ECS' review of documents submitted does not include commenting on the accuracy of such documents or their preparation, methodology, or protocol.

ECS was provided access to drawings, certificate of occupancy, safety inspection records, and warranty information, as applicable, stored on site.

#### 4.2 INTERVIEW SUMMARY

ECS was escorted through the property by Josh Bontrager and Matthew Moffett who provided information about the property.



## **5.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS**

## 5.1 MOISTURE AND MOLD

#### Comments

If present, evidence of mold and moisture issues are noted in the interior section of the report.



## 6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPINIONS OF COST

The opinion of cost are based upon approximate quantities, costs, and published information, and they include labor, material, design fees, and appropriate overhead, general conditions, and profit. A detailed analysis of quantities for cost estimating purposes is not included. The opinion of cost to repair, replace, or upgrade the improvements are considered typical for the marketplace. No contractors have provided pricing. The actual cost of repairs may vary from our opinions. ECS has not included contingency funds in our opinions. Amounts indicated represent today's dollars. ECS offers the following comments relative to Immediate and Capital Reserves criteria:

#### Immediate Issues

Physical deficiencies that require immediate action as a result of (i) existing or potentially unsafe conditions, (ii) significant negative conditions impacting tenancy, (iii) material building code violations, (iv) poor or deteriorated condition of critical element or system, or (v) a condition that is left "as is," with an extensive delay in addressing same, would result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within one year.

ECS has also included physical deficiencies inclusive of deferred maintenance that may not warrant immediate attention, but requiring repairs or replacements that should be undertaken on a priority basis, taking precedence over routine preventative maintenance work within a zero to one year time frame. Included are such physical deficiencies resulting from improper design, faulty installation, and/ or substandard quality of original systems or materials. Components or systems that have realized or exceeded their Expected Useful Life (EUL) that may require replacement to be implemented within a zero to one year time frame are also included.

#### **Capital Reserves**

Capital Reserves are for recurring probable expenditures, which are not classified as operational or maintenance expenses, which should be annually budgeted for in advance. Capital reserves are reasonably predictable both in terms of frequency and cost. However, they may also include components or systems that have an indeterminable life but nonetheless have a potential liability for failure within an estimated time period. A component method has also been included within this report as well.

Capital Reserves excludes systems or components that are estimated to expire after the reserve term and that are not considered material to the structural and mechanical integrity of the subject property. Furthermore, systems and components that were not deemed to have a material affect on the use were also excluded. Costs that are caused by acts of God, accidents or other occurrences that are typically covered by insurance, rather than reserved funds, are also excluded.

Replacement costs were solicited from ownership/property management, ECS' discussions with service companies, manufacturers' representatives, and previous experience in preparing such schedules for other similar facilities. Costs for work performed by ownership's or property management's maintenance staff were also considered.



ECS's reserve methodology involves identification and quantification of those systems or components requiring capital reserve funds within the evaluation period. Additional information concerning systems or components respective replacement costs (in today's dollars), typical expected useful lives, and remaining useful lives were estimated so that a funding schedule could be prepared. The Capital Reserve Schedule presupposes that all required remedial work has been performed or that monies for remediation have been budgeted for items defined in the Immediate Needs Cost Estimates.



## 7.0 FACILITY CONDITION INDEX (FCI)

In accordance with our proposal add alternate, ECS determined the Facility Condition Index (FCI) value for the McIntire Skate Park building. ECS determined the FCI value in accordance with industry standards and methodology sponsored by The National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO). The FCI calculation methodology consists of dividing the total cost of Maintenance, Repair, and Replacement Deficiencies of the Facility by the Current Replacement Value of the Facility. FCI values and condition of the buildings based on the industry accepted interpretation of FCI values with ratings: good (under 0.05), fair (0.05 to 0.10), and poor (over 0.10).

Based on our Facility Condition Assessment, the total repair and replacement costs for the McIntire Skate Park building is \$229,900. The replacement construction cost value obtained from the RS MEANS square foot estimator application is \$411,818. Please see attached documentation from RS MEANS program output as an appendix to the report. The calculated FCI value is determined to be 0.56. In accordance with the industry standards and methodology sponsored by The National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), the condition of McIntire Skate Park is rated as poor.



# Appendix I: SITE MAP AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH













Untitled Map

# Appendix II: RS MEANS ESTIMATE FOR FACILITY CONDITION INDEX (FCI)

Estimate Name	McIntire Skate Park
	City of Charlottesville
	425 U.S. 250 Bypass
	Charlottesville
	Virginia
	22902
Building Type	Telephone Exchange with Face Brick & Concrete Block / Bearing Walls
Location	CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA
	1.00
Stories Height	10.00
Floor Area (S.F.)	1,812.00
LaborType	OPN
Basement Included	No
Data Release	Year 2021
Cost Per Square Foot	\$227.27
Total Building Cost	\$411,818.08

Date: 11/4/2021



Costs are derived from a building model with basic components. Scope differences and market conditions can cause costs to vary significantly.

Ass	embly Customization Type :
Ð	Added
O	Partially Swapped
•	Fully Swapped

		Quantity	% of Total	Cost Per SF	Cost
A Substructure			12.3%	\$20.11	\$36,436.68
A1010	Standard Foundations			\$14.70	\$26,628.41
	Foundation wall, CIP, 4' wall height, direct chute, .148 CY/LF, 7.2 PLF, 12" thick	252.00		\$9.41	\$17,045.53
	Strip footing, concrete, reinforced, load 5.1 KLF, soil bearing capacity 3 KSF, 12" deep x 24" wide	252.00		\$5.03	\$9,123.16
	Spread footings, 3000 PSI concrete, load 50K, soil bearing capacity 6 KSF, 3' - 0" square x 12" deep	2.90		\$0.25	\$459.73
A1030	Slab on Grade			\$4.93	\$8,930.08
	Slab on grade, 4" thick, non industrial, reinforced	1,812.00		\$4.93	\$8,930.08
		Quantity	% of Total	Cost Per SF	Cost
-------------	---	----------	------------	-------------	--------------
A2010	Basement Excavation			\$0.48	\$878.19
	Excavate and fill, 4000 SF, 4' deep, sand, gravel, or common	2,265.00		\$0.48	\$878.19
	earth, on site storage				
B Shell			47.1%	\$77.08	\$139,662.72
B1020	Roof Construction			\$7.77	\$14,072.72
	Roof, steel joists, beams, 1.5" 22 ga metal deck, on columns and bearing wall, 25'x25' bay, 20" deep, 40 PSF superimposed load, 60 PSF total load	1,812.00		\$7.15	\$12,954.97
	Roof, steel joists, beams, 1.5" 22 ga metal deck, on columns and bearing wall, 25'x25' bay, 20" deep, 40 PSF superimposed load, 60 PSF total load, add for column	1,812.00		\$0.62	\$1,117.75
B2010	Exterior Walls			\$28.24	\$51,163.86
	Brick wall, composite double wythe, standard face/CMU back-up, 8" thick, perlite core fill	2,016.00		\$28.24	\$51,163.86
B2020	Exterior Windows			\$29.83	\$54,048.46
	Windows, steel, commercial projected (40% vented), insulated glass, 3'-9" x 5'-5"	25.20		\$29.83	\$54,048.46
B2030	Exterior Doors			\$1.90	\$3,445.24
	Door, aluminum & glass, without transom, full vision, double door, hardware, 6'-0" x 7'-0" opening	0.36		\$1.34	\$2,432.38
	Door, steel 18 gauge, hollow metal, 1 door with frame, no label,	0.36		\$0.56	\$1,012.86
<b>BBB</b>	3'-U" x /'-U" opening			+0.04	¢16 022 45
B3010	Roof Coverings	1 912 00		\$9.34	\$10,932.45
	asphalt felt, mopped	1,012.00		\$2.64	\$4,789.33
	Insulation, rigid, roof deck, composite with 2" EPS, 1" perlite	1,812.00		\$1.72	\$3,124.45
	Roof edges, aluminum, duranodic, .050" thick, 6" face	252.00		\$3.50	\$6,340.27
	Gravel stop, aluminum, extruded, 4", mill finish, .050" thick	252.00		\$1.48	\$2,678.39
C Interiors			16.6%	\$27.15	\$49,190.77
C1010	Partitions			\$2.88	\$5,216.76
	Metal partition, 5/8"fire rated gypsum board face, 1/4" sound deadening gypsum board, 2-1/2" @ 24", same opposite face, no insulation	1,208.00		\$2.88	\$5,216.76
C1020	Interior Doors			\$7.23	\$13,092.73
	Door, single leaf, kd steel frame, hollow metal, commercial quality, flush, 3'-0" x 7'-0" x 1-3/8"	12.08		\$7.23	\$13,092.73
C1030	Fittings			\$0.86	\$1,557.46
	Toilet partitions, cubicles, ceiling hung, stainless steel	1.45		\$0.86	\$1,557.46

RSMeans data

-

		Quantity	% of Total	Cost Per SF	Cost
C3010	Wall Finishes			\$2.96	\$5,369.39
	2 coats paint on masonry with block filler	2,016.00		\$2.22	\$4,024.50
	Painting, interior on plaster and drywall, walls & ceilings, roller work, primer & 2 coats	2,416.00		\$0.74	\$1,344.89
C3020	Floor Finishes			\$5.65	\$10,244.47
	Carpet, tufted, nylon, roll goods, 12' wide, 36 oz	1,630.80		\$4.39	\$7,955.43
	Carpet, padding, add to above, 13.0 density	1,630.80		\$1.02	\$1,846.91
	Vinyl, composition tile, maximum	181.20		\$0.24	\$442.13
C3030	Ceiling Finishes			\$7.57	\$13,709.95
	Acoustic ceilings, 3/4" fiberglass board, 24" x 48" tile, tee grid, suspended support	1,812.00		\$7.57	\$13,709.95
D Services			24.1%	\$39.47	\$71,515.65
D2010	Plumbing Fixtures			\$5.63	\$10,197.21
	Water closet, vitreous china, bowl only with flush valve, wall hung	1.23		\$2.25	\$4,078.76
	Urinal, vitreous china, wall hung	0.62		\$0.41	\$736.96
	Lavatory w/trim, vanity top, PE on CI, 20" x 18"	1.23		\$0.96	\$1,743.56
	Service sink w/trim, PE on CI, corner floor, 28" x 28", w/rim guard	0.62		\$1.25	\$2,267.53
	Water cooler, electric, floor mounted, dual height, 14.3 GPH	0.62		\$0.76	\$1,370.39
D2020	Domestic Water Distribution			\$2.34	\$4,248.52
	Gas fired water heater, commercial, 100< F rise, 75.5 MBH input, 63 GPH	0.62		\$2.34	\$4,248.52
D2040	Rain Water Drainage			\$1.54	\$2,781.72
	Roof drain, DWV PVC, 4" diam, diam, 10' high	1.23		\$0.78	\$1,414.96
	Roof drain, DWV PVC, 4" diam, for each additional foot add	10.87		\$0.16	\$296.94
	Roof drain, DWV PVC, 5" diam, 10' high	0.45		\$0.59	\$1,069.82
D3050	Terminal & Package Units			\$7.56	\$13,704.79
	Rooftop, single zone, air conditioner, offices, 5,000 SF, 15.83 ton	1,812.00		\$7.56	\$13,704.79
D4010	Sprinklers			\$4.67	\$8,457.40
	Wet pipe sprinkler systems, steel, ordinary hazard, 1 floor, 5000 SF	1,812.00		\$4.67	\$8,457.40
D4020	Standpipes			\$6.38	\$11,563.08
	Wet standpipe risers, class III, steel, black, sch 40, 4" diam pipe, 1 floor	1.20		\$6.38	\$11,563.08
D5010	Electrical Service/Distribution			\$4.76	\$8,633.58

		Quantity	% of Total	Cost Per SF	Cost
	Overhead service installation, includes breakers, metering, 20' conduit & wire, 3 phase, 4 wire, 120/208 V, 200 A	1.00		\$1.30	\$2,351.00
	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 200 A	20.00		\$0.38	\$679.70
	Switchgear installation, incl switchboard, panels & circuit breaker, 120/208 V, 3 phase, 400 A	0.50		\$3.09	\$5,602.88
D5020	Lighting and Branch Wiring			\$3.51	\$6,361.61
	Receptacles incl plate, box, conduit, wire, 5 per 1000 SF, .6 watts per SF	1,812.00		\$0.58	\$1,045.71
	Miscellaneous power, 1 watt	1,993.20		\$0.24	\$433.92
	Central air conditioning power, 4 watts	2,083.80		\$0.59	\$1,070.45
	Fluorescent fixtures recess mounted in ceiling, 0.8 watt per SF, 20 FC, 5 fixtures @32 watt per 1000 SF	1,812.00		\$2.10	\$3,811.54
D5030	Communications and Security			\$2.94	\$5,330.60
	Communication and alarm systems, fire detection, addressable, 25 detectors, includes outlets, boxes, conduit and wire	0.15		\$1.39	\$2,514.10
	Fire alarm command center, addressable without voice, excl. wire & conduit	1.00		\$1.55	\$2,816.50
D5090	Other Electrical Systems			\$0.13	\$237.15
	Generator sets, w/battery, charger, muffler and transfer switch, gas/gasoline operated, 3 phase, 4 wire, 277/480 V, 15 kW	0.36		\$0.13	\$237.15
E Equipment & Furnishin			0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00
E1090	Other Equipment			\$0.00	\$0.00
F Special Construction			0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00
G Building Sitework			0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sub Total			100%	\$163.80	\$296,805.82
Contractor's Overhead & Profit			25.0 %	\$40.95	\$74,201.46
Architectural Fees			11.0 %	\$22.52	\$40,810.80
User Fees			0.0 %	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Building Cost				\$227.27	\$411,818.08

# Appendix III: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



1 - Office/storage building



2 - Composite deck framing



3 - Deck framing



4 - Storage roof framing



5 - Pavilion roof framing



6 - Typical yard drainage



7 - General site topography



8 - General site topography



9 - Stormwater drainage



10 - Asphalt pavement overview



11 - Asphalt pavement overview



12 - Asphalt pavement overview



13 - Asphalt pavement overview



14 - Concrete curb and asphalt pavement



15 - Concrete sidewalks



16 - Concrete sidewalks



17 - Concrete sidewalks - note cracked



18 - Concrete pavement - note cracked



19 - Concrete pavement - note cracked



20 - Concrete pavement - note sealed



21 - Concrete pavement - note cracked



22 - Concrete pavement - note cracked



23 - Steel handrail



24 - Composite exterior stairs



25 - Composite deck ramp



26 - Park Equipment



27 - Park Equipment



28 - Chain-link fence



29 - Chain-link fence - note deterioration



30 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



31 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



32 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



33 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



34 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



35 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



36 - Typical landscaping - note deterioration



37 - Gated entrance



38 - Typical dumpster



39 - Typical retaining wall



40 - Typical exterior wall



41 - Typical exterior wall



42 - Typical exterior wall



43 - Typical exterior wall



44 - Typical wood siding



45 - Typical wood siding



46 - Typical roofing system



47 - Typical roofing system



48 - Typical main entrance



49 - Typical entrance door



50 - Typical personal door



51 - Typical exterior grill



52 - Typical exterior door



53 - Typical roofing system



54 - Typical roofing system



55 - Typical roofing system



56 - Typical overhang



57 - Tankless water heater



58 - Through-wall heat pump



59 - Unit Heater



60 - Future lighting power



61 - Restroom meter



62 - Office electrical panel



63 - Restroom sub panel



64 - building transformer


65 - Typical utility room



66 - Typical kitchen interior



67 - Typical kitchen interior



68 - Typical kitchen interior



69 - Office restroom



70 - Typical restroom interior



71 - Office restroom interior



72 - Accessible restroom



73 - Accessible restroom



74 - Typical water fountain



75 - Storage room



76 - Storage room framing



77 - Composite deck



78 - Future pole base



79 - Pool feature tile



80 - Skate feature



81 - Skate park



82 - Skate features



83 - Pool feature



84 - Skate surface



85 - Skate feature drain



86 - Office exterior



87 - Office entrance



88 - Office fascia

DESIGN No. No de Disseno. Med.	Dry-Type Distribution Transformer DATE ADE MANUE: REV. 01	илок траняголитя ос ратлициски тро весо сатадодо 16
TISDAD07 JUN/T	SINGLE PHASE TRANSFORMER	CLASS AA 60 HZ
1890 ·····	1 V 126/240	IMPEDANCE 4.50 (0.170 %)
KVA 15 FRAME 842A	TEMP HISE 150 °C	WEIGHT 1971/mil PESC: 89 K/25
CONTINUENCE No. / No. DE HETEJASNCIA	CLASS 220 INSELATION SYSTEM	MADE IN MICKIDS HECHO EN MICKOO
TRANSFORMER CAN BE INSTALLE DIST 15. TRANSFORMATION POLICIE. THE TRANSFORMATION POLICIE. THE	DIATA ANNA CARDANER, TO MARKANANO DURA WALLETTY A REAL TO BACK MALLS WHAT IN WESTERMAN LIN ANY MAY MUST ALL ACCURATE AND INFORMATION AND THE ANY MAY MUST ALL ACCURATE AND AND INFORMATION AND THE AND AND AND UNK MAN, THE & POLY AND AND AND AND THE ACCURATE UNK MAN, THE & POLY AND AND AND AND THE ACCURATE THE ANY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND THE ACCURATE THE ANY AND	RECEIVED AND DESCRIPTION OF A PERSON OF A PERSON OF A PERSON AND A PER
	In the Alex Constraints of the Ward Alexandro March Alexandro Ale	
The second control of the line		

89 - Office transformer



90 - Transformer label



91 - Disconnect



92 - Restroom entrance



93 - Restroom exterior



94 - Restroom exterior



95 - Restroom exterior



96 - Electrical service



97 - Electric services



98 - Accessible restroom



99 - Accessible restroom



100 - Restroom space heater



101 - Accessible restroom



102 - Restroom space heater



103 - Restroom ceiling



104 - Entry space heater



105 - Entry maglocks



106 - Restroom plumbing



107 - Office extinguisher



108 - Fire extinguisher



109 - Office storage framing



110 - Office panel



111 - Office plumbing



112 - Office restroom heater



113 - Exhaust fan



114 - Lighting



115 - Office brick - Note deterioration of mortar joints



116 - Septic controls



117 - Clean-out



118 - Restroom window



119 - Restroom window



120 - Restroom transformer

	CATCON Dry-Type Distribution Transformer Note Distribution Transformer Note Distribution Transformer Note Distribution Transformer Note Distribution Techna de Kanar Herv of 1
	TYPE IN 3 SHOLE HARE TRANSFORMED CLASS AN ADHO
	1010 AMERICANUS AND
	RVA.15 TEAM BADA TEMPT BIOL TEMPT BY THE WEIGHT BY CITE
	RECEIVED IN THE DE REFERENCE OF AND A 200 MEET A THOM TYPETT M MARKE HE ME RECO THORSE SPETEMA DE AND ANY 1717 200 HECHOLO MERICO
	Transversion of an in the second state of the
*:	Energy Verified Workshot - Accesses and Stage Restance CAT Line 10 Courses - 1 and 20 Courses - 1 and 20 Courses - 2 Courses -
	CANGER A PELIGRO Transmission A PELIGRO Transmission A PELIGRO A PELIGR

121 - Transformer label



122 - Disconnect



123 - Restroom panel



124 - Restroom service



125 - Lighting panel



126 - Lighting panel



127 - CT cabinet



128 - Exterior drinking fountain



129 - Restroom building interior



130 - Restroom plumbing



131 - Lavy carrier



132 - Toilet carrier



133 - Typical plumbing cover



134 - Subpanel



135 - Restroom extinguisher



136 - Restroom extinguisher charge
### City of Charlottesville -Facilities Development ECS Project No. 46:6713 November 2, 2021



137 - Restroom plumbing



138 - Restroom

City of Charlottesville -Facilities Development ECS Project No. 46:6713 November 2, 2021



139 - Restroom window



140 - Power pedestal

### City of Charlottesville -Facilities Development ECS Project No. 46:6713 November 2, 2021



141 - power pedestal



142 - Suspect substance on wall

# **Appendix IV: RESUMES**

## Principal Architect – Facilities Department

#### **EDUCATION**

Bachelor of Architecture, 1987, Architecture, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA

#### REGISTRATIONS

Registered Architect: AZ, DC, MD, VA, NC, IL The Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Accredited Professional: 2009

Mr. Doyle serves as a Principal Architect for the Facilities Engineering Group in ECS Chantilly. He has over 25 years of experience in the construction industry, and his expertise includes the Americans with Disabilities Act, Property Condition Surveys, Pre and Post Construction Survey Services, Pavement Assessments, and Third-Party Plan Review. He has worked with numerous government agencies and has significant experience with local government and educational facilities; commercial high-rise buildings; multi-unit, residential, and correctional facilities. Mr. Doyle also has had experience on several high-profile historic projects, including the Jefferson Memorial, the Tivoli Theater, the Tariff Building, The White House, the Court of Appeals in Washington, DC; the Valley Bank Building in Leesburg, Virginia; and the Shenandoah Courthouse at Woodstock, Virginia.

**Property Condition Assessments** - Mr. Doyle has extensive experience performing property condition assessments from small commercial properties, large high rise buildings, to government-owned properties. Mr. Doyle has performed assessment in general accordance with ASTM E 2018, Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process. Mr. Doyle also has experience in performing property condition assessments in accordance with lender and specific client requirements. Mr. Doyle has worked with teams of experts in providing detailed reports and simple reserve analysis for properties.

#### **RELEVANT PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

**Darien Lake, Darien Center, NY** – Mr. Doyle was the Principal Architect for the property assessment of the Darien Lake amusement park. The property included over 200 buildings including buildings within the park, maintenance and administration buildings, hotel, campground buildings, and sewer treatment center. Ballston Park Apartments, Arlington, VA (2014) -

originally developed in 1938, this complex includes 50 two-story apartment buildings, one three-story apartment building, one single-family residence, and a single-story office/clubhouse. A PCA and a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was conducted and documented.

**Hyatt House Lodging, Sterling, VA (2014)** - This six-story, 162-room, 98,793-square-foot hotel with surface parking was constructed in 2007 as a Sierra Suites and subsequently converted to a Hyatt House. Recreational facilities include a swimming pool, fitness center, a grill area, and a fire pit. Building systems observed per ASTM E 2018 included site conditions, the structural frame and building envelope; plumbing, mechanical and electrical systems, vertical transportation Systems, life safety and fire protection, and ADA Considerations. A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was also conducted.

#### WHMO Facilities Assessment, Washington, DC (2015) -

This is a privately owned, government-leased facility with a sensitive mission. The structure is believed to be a 1920s vintage building designed as a multi-story car dealership. The government has occupied this space continuously since 1963. Mr. Doyle conducted a survey of the complete facility, identified and documented areas of concerns. He also provide a recommendation for remediation for each area of concern, a Rough Order Magnitude (ROM) cost for remediation, and categorized each area of concern as critical, non-critical or aesthetic.

#### ADDITIONAL PROJECT EXPERIENCE

- City of Charlottesville Portfolio, Charlottesville, VA
- Liberty Park, Herndon, VA
- Oakcrest School, McLean, VA
- Signature Flight Support, Arlington, VA
- The Gap, Washington, DC
- Lanham Crossing, Lanham, MD
- ZIM American Headquarters Building, Sulfolk, VA
- The Portrait Building, Washington, DC
- The Aventine of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA



# DONALD GOGLIO

CODE COMPLIANCE PROJECT MANAGER

#### **PROFESSIONAL PROFILE**

Mr. Goglio has 38 years of construction, mechanical trade, and management experience. He manages code compliance projects, including reviewing plans, providing technical support, and conducting inspections.

#### **PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

**Fort Lee AIT Barracks, Ft. Lee, VA – Quality Control Manager** – The Fort Lee AIT Barracks project is a soldiers' basic combat training facility for over 1,200 Army personnel. The complex is a cohesive development, providing both housing and affiliated functions for soldiers in the AIT program. In addition to housing, the facility includes an outdoor jogging track, physical training pits, and access drivers and parking areas that meet USACE requirements. The project's five-story brick buildings meet DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings and obtained LEED® Gold certification from the US Green Building Council. The Fort Lee project is part of the Northeast Region Multiple Award Task Order Contract (MATOC).

**Terrapin Row, College Park, MD – Assistant Superintendent** – Terrapin Row is a transformative student housing complex located on the University of Maryland's historic South Campus. The mixed-use community features 1,493 beds across 418 apartments as well as a 489-space parking garage. Terrapin Row boasts ample amenities centered around a college lifestyle, including a swimming pool, volleyball court, outdoor kitchens and fire pits, exterior TVs, a fitness center, bike storage, a cyber cafe and game room, and numerous live-learn spaces. The multi-phase project consists of seven buildings and encompasses a pedestrian and bike-friendly Village Green surrounded by over 11,856 square feet of retail space. The Village Green flows into a grand stairway and amphitheater that opens to a pedestrian plaza to welcome pedestrians towards the main academic centers of campus.

#### The Hartley at the Parks, Washington, DC – Assistant Superintendent

- The Hartley is a 323-unit mixed-use apartment community with a Whole Foods Market as its retail anchor in Northwest DC. This six-story community consists of five stories of wood framing over a one-story concrete podium with 317 apartments and six townhomes. It is a part of The Parks at Walter Reed, a mixed-use master-planned redevelopment of the 66-acre historic Walter Reed Army Medical Center with 2,200 residential units plus office and retail. The Hartley features two interior courtyards; the north courtyard includes pool and amenity space, and the south courtyard includes a Zen garden. The second-floor amenity space includes a lounge, multi-purpose room, fitness center, and pet spa. The studio, one-, two-, and three-bedroom units feature high-end finishes, including quartz countertops and EnergyStar® appliances.



#### CERTIFICATIONS

WSSC Master Plumber WSSC Master Gasfitter WSSC Cross Connection Technician Certification CPR/First Aid Training OSHA 30 hr Training ICC Certified Commercial Building Inspector ICC Certified Commercial Plumbing Inspector ICC Certified Commercial Mechanical Inspector LEED Green Associate

#### SKILLS

Code Compliance Construction Administration Special Inspection Services Condition Assessments Forensic Consultation

#### PROFESSIONAL MEMBERHSHIPS

American Wood Council USGBC

#### **EDUCATION**

Montgomery College, 1991, Silver Spring, MD

YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ECS: <1 Other: 38

# **DONALD** GOGLIO

#### CODE COMPLIANCE PROJECT MANAGER

#### **PROFESSIONAL PROFILE**

Mr. Goglio has 38 years of construction, mechanical trade, and management experience. He manages code compliance projects, including reviewing plans, providing technical support, and conducting inspections.

#### **PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

- Fort Lee AIT Barracks, Ft. Lee, VA
- Terrapin Row, College Park, MD
- The Hartley at the Parks, Washington, DC
- River Point, Washington, DC
- Juniper, Columbia, MD
- The Smith, King of Prussia, PA
- Banner Hill, Baltimore, MD
- Jefferson Square, Baltimore, MD
- Metropolitan at Largo Station, Largo, MD
- The Village at Leesburg, Leesburg, VA
- The Elms at Clarksburg Village, Clarksburg, MD Assistant Superintendent
- Hidden Creek, Gaithersburg, MD
- Paramount, Gaithersburg, MD
- Thayer & Spring, Silver Spring, MD

#### **CERTIFICATIONS** WSSC Master Plumber

WSSC Master Gasfitter WSSC Cross Connection Technician Certification CPR/First Aid Training OSHA 30 hr Training ICC Certified Commercial Building Inspector ICC Certified Commercial Plumbing Inspector

> ICC Certified Commercial Mechanical Inspector LEED Green Associate

#### SKILLS

Code Compliance Construction Administration Special Inspection Services Condition Assessments Forensic Consultation

#### PROFESSIONAL MEMBERHSHIPS

American Wood Council USGBC

#### **EDUCATION**

Trade Specific (Plumbing), 1991, Montgomery College, Silver Spring, MD

> YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ECS: <1 Other: 38





# William R. Pratt, PE

### Principal Engineer, ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC Professional-In-Charge

#### SELECT PROJECT EXPERIENCE – PCA

City of Charlottesville, VA - 51 Property

- Portfolio including schools, libraries, museums, fire and police stations, and court buildings
- Home Properties 800+ Apartment Units, 4-Property Portfolio to Freddie Mac Standard, Hampton and Virginia Beach, VA
- Boulders Office Park 300,000+ SF, 3-Property Portfolio , Richmond, VA
- Darien Lake Theme Park, Darien Center, NY
- Madison Place Office Building, Alexandria, VA
- King of Glory Lutheran Church, Williamsburg, VA
- Comfort Inn, Charlottesville, VA
- The Wisconsin Building, Washington, DC

SELECT PROJECT EXPERIENCE – CODE COMPLIANCE AND SPECIAL INSPECTIONS

- City Center DC, Washington, DC
- DC Courts Judiciary Square, IDIQ Contract, Washington, DC
- Hilton Garden Inn, Washington, DC
- Waterfront Mall, Washington, DC
- 4<sup>th</sup> Street Reconstruction, Washington, DC
- Sibley Memorial Hospital Addition, Cancer Center, Washington, DC
- Washington Headquarters Services, Arlington, VA
- Walmart #5968-00, Washington, DC
- Progression Place, 7<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Washington, DC
- National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC
- City Market @ O, Washington, DC



#### **EDUCATION**

Bachelor of Science, 1989, Mechanical Engineering, University of Massachusetts

#### REGISTRATIONS

Professional Engineer: DC, VA, MD

ICC Commercial Building, Plumbing, and Mechanical Inspector

Mr. Pratt serves as Senior Project Engineer for ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC. Mr. Pratt is responsible as Professional-In-Charge the of the code compliance group and provides supervision of code compliance inspection programs for the local jurisdictions. Additionally, he oversees execution of project management for materials testing, construction property condition assessments.

PROPERTY CONDITION ASSESSMENTS -Bill has extensive experience in performing property condition assessments for a variety of properties and structures. These assessments include evaluation of site improvements, building components, roofing, pavements, electrical systems, mechanical systems, and HVAC systems. He performs assessment in general accordance with ASTM E 2018 - 08, Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process. Bill also has experience in performing property condition assessments that meet with lender and specific client requirements. He works with teams of experts in providing detailed reports and simple reserve analysis for properties.